

### APRICOT

# prop-018-v001 Protecting historical records in the APNIC Whois Database

Project Update
DB SIG APNIC19
24 February 2005
Kyoto, Japan

Sanjaya, Project Manager, APNIC Secretariat



# Overview

- Background
- Proposal
- Administrative change
- Implementation schedule
- Implementation result
- Feedback received
- Q & A





# Background

- The historical ASN and IPv4 address range is increasingly becoming a source of abusive activities in the Internet.
- http://www.completewhois.com/hijacked/hijacked\_qa.htm
- Total number of historical inetnum objects in APNIC whois database (as of Feb 04): 3,190 (1.5% of total inetnums)





## **Decision from APNIC 17**

- Protect all historical inetnum and aut-num with APNIC-HM maintainer
- Existing custodians <u>can still use the</u> <u>resource</u>, but will not be able to change the record
- Existing custodian who wants to maintain their records should sign a formal agreement with APNIC. Proposed annual fee is USD 100 per maintainer.





# Administrative change

#### • From:

 Existing custodian who wants to maintain their records should sign a formal agreement with APNIC. Annual fee is USD 100 per <u>maintainer</u>.

#### To:

 Existing custodian who wants to maintain their records should sign a formal agreement with APNIC. Annual fee is USD 100 per <u>account</u>.





# Implementation Schedule

No	Task	Aı	Aug		Sep		Oct		Nov		Dec		Jan	
1.1	Systems development													
1.2	Consult NIR if a historical record is found in their country													
1.3	Public announcement													
1.4	Modify APNIC administration process													
1.5	Modify APNIC hostmaster process													
1.6	Lock record and notify any known contact													
1.7	Prepare report for APNIC 19													





# Implementation result

- Actual locking date:
  - inetnum 14 Dec 2004
  - aut-num 21 Dec 2004
- Affected objects
  - inetnum: 5,633 (including erx transfer)
  - aut-num: 243





## APRICOT

## Feedback received

- Notification window too short (1 week)
  - response: giving a long lead time will open opportunity for abuse
  - APNIC is willing to make exceptions within reasons
- Need a faster account opening process
- Lame delegation project impact
  - Those who are contacted due to having a lame delegation record get a one time allowance to change the data without opening an account







