

APNIC24
Sep 2007 New Delhi, India

IPv4 Countdown Proposal ver.2

- End Policy for IANA to RIR allocation -

- Japan Network Information Center
- Izumi Okutani

Introduction

□ This is ver.2 of a proposal made in APNIC23

➤ Countdown proposal ver.1

<http://www.apnic.net/docs/policy/discussions/prop-046-v001.txt>

□ Intended to avoid confusion at the time of IPv4 address exhaustion by;

➤ Defining distribution policy of the last piece of IPv4 address block

➤ Keeping the community well informed of the situation

*** Operational issue is out of scope of this presentation**

Issues at the Time of Exhaustion

(From address distribution perspective)

- ❑ No clear agreement on handling of the last piece of IPv4
 - For neither IANA nor RIR's IPv4 blocks

- ❑ No official information on IPv4 request deadline
 - No official information for LIRs on how long they can receive IPv4 addresses from respective RIRs

- ❑ The level of IPv4 and IPv6 internet vary by region, therefore policy requirements for exhaustion differ by region
 - Policy to encourage IPv6 deployment may receive strong support in a region with wide IPv4 deployment
 - A region with rooms for IPv4 development may have stronger needs to for a policy to give priority to new comers to IPv4

... etc, etc

Our initial proposal: ver.1

□ Principles

 Global Synchronization by all RIRs **CONSENSUS**

~~ Some Blocks to be left~~ **NO CONSENSUS**

 Keeping current practices until the last moment
(allocation) **CONSENSUS**

 Separate discussions on “recycle” issue **CONSENSUS**

□ Details (example)

- Announce the day in which the IANA pool becomes less than 30*/8 (A-Date)
- Terminate new allocation/assignment from RIR on the day (T-Date) exactly 2 years after A-Date

Discussions on ver.1

- ❑ Strong concerns were expressed on the idea of artificially stopping allocations
 - May lead to legal problems for RIRs
 - Misunderstandings that it was proposed to create demands for IPv6 by stopping IPv4

- ❑ No consensus reached outside APNIC region

Basic Principles of ver.2

□ Revisions from ver.1

- Ensure all blocks to be used until the last piece
- Separately define IANA --> RIR and RIR -->LIR distribution
- Tolerance of regional policy diversity on distribution of last piece(s) of RIR pool

□ Continuation from ver.1

- Keep community informed when they can receive allocations under the current criteria

Note: We recognize “recovery of IPv4” as an important issue, but would like to treat it as a separate topic

Proposal: ver.2

 Distribute the last pieces of IANA pool equally to each RIR

- Distribute a single /8 to each RIR at the point when new IANA free pool hits 5 */8. This date is defined as "IANA Exhaustion Date"(IED)

 RIRs should maintain the current address distribution criteria until IED

 It should be completely left up to each RIR communities to define a regional policy after IED

- See "End Policy for LIR allocations in APNIC region" for possible policy in APNIC region

 RIRs should provide an official projection of IED

- through websites, at Policy Meetings and other effective means

How would this help?

- ❑ Help define distribution of last pieces of IANA blocks to RIRs

- ❑ Allows each RIR community to define the policy which matches the requirements of the region at the time of exhaustion
 - See “End Policy for LIR allocations in APNIC region ” for possible policy implementations in AP

- ❑ LIRs will be informed on how long they can request for IPv4 under the current criteria

Summary

Issues at the time of exhaustion	Proposal
No clear agreement on handling of the last IPv4	Distribute IANA pool equally (single /8) to each RIR when the remaining pool hits 5*/8
No official information on IPv4 request deadline	Define above date as "IANA Exhaustion Date"
	Maintain current criteria until IED
	RIRs provide official projection of IED
Major Issues vary by region	Diversity of regional policy allowed after IED

Reference

- Possible Measures after IED for RIR → LIR allocations
 - Give priority to initial allocations
 - Give priority to translators
 - Give priority to those with IPv6 deployment plan
 - Give priority to those with efficient use
 - Explicitly do nothing

- Related presentation
 - “End Policy for LIR allocations in APNIC region”

Q&A

