APOPS Asia Pacific OPeratorS forum

APNIC 22 Kaohsiung, Wednesday 6th September 2006



- APOPS is part of regular APNIC programme
 - General call for contributions
 - SIG Chairs formed new programme committee along with APOPS Chairs
 - Routing, IX, DNS and DB SIGs did not meet this time

Agenda 11:00-12:30

- Introduction
- Redundant Internet Service Provision
 - Kae Hsu, SeedNet
- Building an IP network management system
 - Shengyong Ding, China Telecom
- Effects of Botnets on Broadband in Japan
 - Masaru Akai, Softbank BB

Agenda 14:00-15:30

- Impact of SMW4 on Bangladesh
 - Sumon Ahmed Sabir, BDCOM
- Local peering situation in Philippines and PHNOG activities
 - Amante Alvaran, APNIC
- Looking at AS paths
 - Gaurab Raj Upadhaya, NPIX
- IPv6, what works, what doesn't
 - Merike Kaeo, Double Shot Security

Agenda 16:00-17:30

- Routing certification project update
 - Geoff Huston, APNIC
- Route Origination Authorisation with IRR
 - Taiji Kimura, JPNIC
- ISP Security Practices
 - Merike Kaeo, Double Shot Security
- Prevent DoS using IP source address spoofing
 - Yoshinobu Matsuzaki, IIJ

APOPS Summary

- Community discussion following APOPS
 - Speakers from floor supported new format
 - Appreciation for quality of presentations
 - Experiment considered successful, recommendation for future APNIC meetings
- Session One: 100 attendees
- Session Two: 60 attendees
- Session Three: 90 attendees
- Jabber: 25 participants

Lightning Talks

- APNIC Meeting presentation General Call closed early in August
- Lightning Talks gives time for last minute short presentations on current issues to be made:
 - Max 10 mins, including Q&A
 - No slides needed
 - Current topic of interest
 - Informal!

Lightning Talks 17:45-18:15

- Ryan Connolly:
 - Team Cymru data offerings
- Maemura Akinori:
 - JANOG update
- Shinji Morikawa:
 - Traffic trends in JP & at JPNAP
- Xing Li:
 - IPv6 Source Address Validation Architecture (SAVA)
- Gaurab Raj Upadhaya:
 - SANOG update
- 60 participants, plus 6 on Jabber



- Short discussion:
 - Feedback from participants was positive
 - Maybe we should do this again?