# APNIC Membership Fees 

APNIC Members Meeting, APNIC 22
8 September 2006

## What's coming up...

- Background
- Current structure and issues
- Fee structure principles
- Proposed fee structure
- Impact
- Discussion


## Background

- Current fee structure established 1996
- Optional tier selection (Small/Medium/Large)
- Annual fees (USD 2,500/5,000/10,000 per annum)
- NIR member fees (USD 1,000 per annum each)
- Some adjustments
- NIR per-address fees (1997)
- Mandatory tier selection (1999)
- Additional tiers $(1999,2001)$
- Fee structure problems
- NIR fee structure discussions (APNIC-12, 2001, TW)
- Presentation at APNIC 21
- Proposal updated since APNIC 21
- This presentation contains latest figures


## Annual financial results



## Membership status



## APNIC's new activities in recent years

- ICANN meetings and fees
- Substantial expense item since 1999
- MyAPNIC
- Major service platform, under ongoing development
- Root servers
- 19 servers deployed in AP region
- Research and development
- AS numbers, resource certification, resource projections, ...
- Training
- Increased course content, e-learning
- Meetings
- Participation mechanisms: transcription, web/audio/podcast, ...
- Information and outreach
- APster, website, educational materials
- WSIS, IGF, other "political" demands
- Unpredictable in future years


## Current structure and issues

## Current fee structure

- Annual membership fees

| IPv4 Prefix | IPv6 Prefix | Membership Tier | Annual fee |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $>/ 10$ | $>/ 23$ | Extra Large | 40000 |
| $/ 10$ | $/ 23$ | Very Large | 20000 |
| $/ 13$ | 126 | Large | 10000 |
| $/ 16$ | 129 | Medium | 5000 |
| $/ 19$ | 132 | Small | 2500 |
| 122 | 135 | Very small | 1250 |

- Annual assessment of address space holdings
-Fixed tiers with large increments
- Annual fee is fixed for 1 year from renewal


## Current fee structure

- "Per address" fee

| Membership Tier | Per addr fee |
| ---: | ---: |
| Extra Large | 0.02 |
| Very Large | 0.03 |
| Large | 0.06 |
| Medium | 0.11 |
| Small | 0.16 |
| Very small | n/a |

- Fee paid once only, for each allocation to NIR
- Allocation contributes to NIR tier assessment and voting rights
- No voting rights for NIR members


## NIR allocation system

## APNIC

## Current issues - NIRs



## Current issues - fairness

- Costs - Small vs large members
-Effective cost for small and large ISPs

| IPv4 Prefix | Addresses | Annual fee | Fee/address |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $/ 20$ | 4096 | 2500 | $\$ 0.610$ |
| $/ 16$ | 65536 | 5000 | $\$ 0.076$ |
| $/ 12$ | 1048576 | 20000 | $\$ 0.019$ |
| $/ 8$ | 16777216 | 40000 | $\$ 0.002$ |

- Fairness of this structure is questionable


## Current issues - fairness



Fee structure principles

## Principles

- Overall revenue maintenance
- APNIC revenues should be predictable
- Mitigate impact of new NIRs forming
- Revenue adjustment
-For effects of inflation and foreign exchange
- Plan to increase revenue by at least 10-15\% over expectations of current structure
- Annual fee calculation
- Base annual fees on address holdings
- Reflects size of organisation \& capacity to pay


## Principles

- NIR fees
-NIR member fees based on regular fee structure
- With agreed discount in recognition of NIR services and costs
- Fairness
- More equitable distribution of funding responsibility, according to capacity to pay
- Voting
- Members of NIRs should be entitled to vote
- Voting entitlement proportional to overall fee contribution


## Feedback at and since APNIC 21

- General interest in overall structure
- Agreement to continue discussion
- Highest tiers represent very large increase
- Should be capped at a lower level, at least initially
- What about IPv6?
- Need to specify prefix and fee levels
- Impact on NIRs
-Full impact was not clear
- Concern expressed about fee increases


## Proposed fee structure and impact

## Current structure - parameters

- Minimum address space level (up to /22)
- Minimum fee level (currently \$1250)
- Address space increment (3 bits per tier)
- Fee increment (x2 per tier)

| IPv4 Prefix | IPv6 Prefix | Tier | Annual |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $>/ 10$ | $>/ 23$ | Extra Large | 40000 |
| $/ 10$ | $/ 23$ | Very Large | 20000 |
| $/ 13$ | $/ 26$ | Large | 10000 |
| $/ 16$ | $/ 29$ | Medium | 5000 |
| $/ 19$ | $/ 32$ | Small | 2500 |
| up to $/ 22$ | up to $/ 35$ | Very small | 1250 |
| None | None | Associate | 625 |

## Proposed structure - parameters

- Minimum fee level: \$360
- Since APNIC21: Added tier for "no address space": \$180
- Minimum tier: up to /24
- Since APNIC21: Maximum tier: >/10
- IPv6: /48
- Fee increment: x2 per tier
- Address space increment: 2 bits per tier
-IPv6: x4 per tier


## New fee structure - proposal

| IPv4 Prefix | IPv6 Prefix | Tier | Annual fee |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $>/ 10$ | $>/ 20$ | I | 92160 |
| $/ 10$ | $/ 20$ | H | 46080 |
| $/ 12$ | $/ 24$ | G | 23040 |
| $/ 14$ | $/ 28$ | F | 11520 |
| $/ 16$ | $/ 32$ | E | 5760 |
| $/ 18$ | $/ 36$ | D | 2880 |
| $/ 20$ | $/ 40$ | C | 1440 |
| $/ 22$ | $/ 44$ | B | 720 |
| up to $/ 24$ | up to $/ 48$ | A | 360 |
| None | None | X | 180 |

## Impact - regular members

| Preffix | Count | New | Current | Increase |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 1 | 92160 | 40000 | 52160 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 0 | 92160 | 40000 | 52160 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | 1 | 92160 | 40000 | 52160 |
| 9 | 3 | 92160 | 40000 | 52160 |
| 10 | 1 | 46080 | 20000 | 26080 |
| 11 | 4 | 46080 | 20000 | 26080 |
| 12 | 14 | 23040 | 20000 | 3040 |
| 13 | 18 | 23040 | 10000 | 13040 |
| 14 | 22 | 11520 | 10000 | 1520 |
| 15 | 39 | 11520 | 10000 | 1520 |
| 16 | 63 | 5760 | 5000 | 760 |
| 17 | 52 | 5760 | 5000 | 760 |
| 18 | 93 | 2880 | 5000 | -2120 |
| 19 | 227 | 2880 | 2500 | 380 |
| 20 | 254 | 1440 | 2500 | -1060 |
| $\mathbf{2 1}$ | 191 | 1440 | 2500 | -1060 |
| 22 | 62 | 720 | 1250 | -530 |
| $\mathbf{2 3}$ | 56 | 720 | 1250 | -530 |
| 24 | 62 | 360 | 1250 | -890 |
| None | 98 | 180 | 625 | -445 |
|  | 1261 |  |  |  |

## Impact - NIRs

| Prefix | Count | New | Current | Increase |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 6 | 1 | 92160 | 40000 | 52160 |
| 7 | 1 | 92160 | 40000 | 52160 |
| 8 | 1 | 92160 | 40000 | 52160 |
| 9 | 1 | 92160 | 40000 | 52160 |
| 12 | 1 | 23040 | 20000 | 3040 |
| 15 | 1 | 11520 | 10000 | 1520 |
|  | 6 |  |  |  |

## Impact - NIR members

| NIR | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | Grand Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CNNIC-CN |  | 1 | 40 | 34 | 23 | 19 | 5 | 2 |  | 124 |
| IDNIC-ID |  | 2 | 70 | 6 | 4 |  |  |  | 82 |  |
| JPNIC-JP | 12 | 6 | 81 | 61 | 22 | 18 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 210 |
| KRNIC-KR |  | 2 | 4 | 23 | 28 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 69 |
| TWNIC-TW |  | 1 | 12 | 9 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 1 |  | 32 |
| VNNIC-VN | 3 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |  | 18 |
| Grand Total | 15 | 13 | 214 | 135 | 83 | 49 | 17 | 7 | 2 | 535 |


| NIR | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | Grand Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CNNIC-CN |  | 360 | 28800 | 48960 | 66240 | $1 E+05$ | 57600 | 46080 |  | 357480 |
| IDNIC-ID |  | 720 | 50400 | 8640 | 11520 |  |  |  |  |  |
| JPNIC-JP | 2160 | 2160 | 58320 | 87840 | 63360 | $1 E+05$ | 80640 | 46080 | 46080 | 490320 |
| KRNIC-KR |  | 720 | 2880 | 33120 | 80640 | 34560 | 34560 | 46080 | 46080 | 278640 |
| TWNIC-TW |  | 360 | 8640 | 12960 | 11520 | 23040 | 11520 | 23040 | 91080 |  |
| VNNIC-VN | 540 | 360 | 5040 | 2880 | 5760 | 11520 | 11520 |  | 37620 |  |
| Grand Total | 2700 | 4680 | $2 E+05$ | $2 E+05$ | $2 E+05$ | $3 E+05$ | $2 E+05$ | $2 E+05$ | 92160 | 1326420 |

## Impact - APNIC budget

|  | Number | IPv4 (/8) | New | Current | Increase |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Members | 1261 | 6.78 | $\$ 4,480,920$ | $\$ 4,376,250$ | $2 \%$ |
| NIRs | 6 | 5.00 | $\$ 403,200$ | $\$ 190,000$ | $112 \%$ |
| NIR members | 535 | 3.65 | $\$ 1,326,420$ | $\$ 700,000$ | $89 \%$ |
| Total | 1802 | 15.43 | $\$ 6,210,540$ | $\$ 5,266,250$ | $18 \%$ |

## Feedback since publication

- Reason for overall revenue increase?
- Request for 3-year budget and activity plan
- Tier/level should not be capped
- Highest levels are heavily discounted already
- Historical addresses should be included
- In order to distribute responsibility fairly
- Economic circumstances should be considered
- e.g. Development index of country
- Tiers can be abolished completely
- Use continuous formula instead
- NIR members should not be counted
- Voting and fee collection are too complex
- NIR role should be respected


## Further work

## Continuous Formula?

- Parameters for IPv4
- Minimum prefix (MP = /24)
- Minimum fee level (MF = 360)
-Prefix factor (PF = 2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P=32-\log _{2}(I P) \\
& F e e=M F \times 2^{((M P-P) / P F)}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Don’t PANIC - Use MyAPNIC!


## Continuous Formula?

| Addresses | Prefix | Fee Factor | Total Fee | Comment |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 256 | $/ 24.0000$ | 1.0000 | $\$ 360.00$ | Minimum |
| 1024 | $/ 22.0000$ | 2.0000 | $\$ 720.00$ | $/ 22$ |
| 1025 | $/ 21.9986$ | 2.0010 | $\$ 720.35$ | $/ 22+1$ |
| 65536 | $/ 16.0000$ | 16.0000 | $\$ 5,760.00$ | $/ 16$ |
| 69632 | $/ 15.9125$ | 16.4924 | $\$ 5,937.27$ | $/ 16+/ 20$ |
| 16777216 | $/ 8.0000$ | 256.0000 | $\$ 92,160.00$ | $/ 8$ |
| 16777472 | $/ 8.0000$ | 256.0020 | $\$ 92,160.70$ | $/ 8+/ 24$ |
| 16846848 | $/ 7.9940$ | 256.5307 | $\$ 92,351.05$ | $/ 8+/ 16+/ 20$ |
| 67108864 | $/ 6.0000$ | 512.0000 | $\$ 184,320.00$ | $/ 6$ (uncapped) |

- Note - no longer "rounding up"
- Minimum fee level needs to be raised
- e.g. MF = \$440


## Discount for developing economies?

- Discount for specific economies
- based on UN or World Bank classification
- Examples
- Many associations, NGOs, events/conferences
- Commonly 25-50\% discount
- http://www.w3.org/Consortium/fee-200504


## Discount for developing economies?



## Discount for developing economies?

- Developed economies(8)
- au,hk,jp,mo,nz,sg,kr,tw
- DC - Developing Economies (35)
- as,io,bn,cn,cx,cc,ck,fj, pf,tf,gu,in,id,kp,my, mh,mu,fm,mn,nr,nc,nu,nf,mp,pk,pw,pg,ph, $\mathrm{pn}, \mathrm{lk}, \mathrm{th}, \mathrm{tk}, \mathrm{to}, \mathrm{vn}, \mathrm{wf}$
- LDC - Least-Developed economies (14)
- af,bd,bt,kh,tl,ki,la,mv,mm,np,ws,sb,tv,vu
- Propose discount for this category only?
-For example - 25\%?


## Other questions

- Remove cap on largest fees?
- NIRs
- Consider as single member?
- Or, fee structure for NIRs' members?
- If so, what size discount for them?
- Voting entitlement
-Formula based on annual fee?
- Voting for NIRs' members?
-e.g. 1 vote per \$360


## Discussion...

## Thanks

